Austin & Rogers, P.A.

WILLIAM FREDERICK AUSTIN (1930-2016)

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

TIMOTHY F. ROGERS RAYMON E. LARK, JR. RICHARD L. WHITT EDWARD L. EUBANKS W. MICHAEL DUNCAN*

* ALSO ADMITTED IN N.C.

COLUMBIA OFFICE

CONGAREE BUILDING
508 HAMPTON STREET, SUITE 300
POST OFFICE BOX 11716 (29211)
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 256-4000
FACSIMILE: (803) 252-3679

OF COUNSEL: JEFFERSON D. GRIFFITH, III

January 8, 2018

WWW.AUSTINROGERSPA.COM

VIA, ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Jocelyn Boyd Chief Clerk and Administrator The Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Re:

- Docket 2017-332-E
- Support for the Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc.'s Petition to Intervene.

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Enclosed for filing, in the above-referenced matter, please find Docket Cover Sheet, Support for the Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc.'s Petition to Intervene and Certificate of Service. Please notify the undersigned if you there is anything else you may need.

100

Respectfully Submitted	,
/s/	
Richard L. Whitt	

RLW/cas

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2017-332-E

IN RE:	Southern Current LLC; Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; and Birdseye Renewable Energy, LLC,)))))) SUPPORT FOR THE INTERSTATE RENEWABLE ENERGY COUNCIL, INC.'S PETITION TO INTERVENE
	Complainants/Petitioners, v.	
	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC,	
	Defendants/Respondents.)))

INTRODUCTION

This matter is currently pending before this Commission, but this Docket has been held in abeyance by Standing Hearing Officer Directive No. 2017-87-H. The previous Docket deadlines have been withdrawn and Complainants/Petitioners have been given the right to amend Complainants/Petitioners' Complaint and to add a party(s) by January 16, 2018. Accordingly, the Defendants/Respondents have not answered the Complaint and this Docket is essentially in a procedural posture, as if just filed. Therefore, no party will be prejudiced by this Commission granting the Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc.'s ("IREC") Petition to Intervene.

SUPPORT FOR IREC'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

Docket 2015-362-E.

 IREC was granted Intervenor status by this Commission in Docket 2015-362-E, and participated therein, in a Docket that helped develop the very guidelines that Defendants/Respondents seek to modify.

IREC Offers Different Knowledge/Experience/Perspective.

2. IREC's previous participation before this Commission and participation in over 40 States Nationwide, allows IREC to bring different knowledge, experience and perspective to this matter. IREC's contribution is consistent with prong "3" of the <u>Sagebrush</u> factors approved by the South Carolina Supreme Court in <u>Berkeley Electric Coop.</u>, Inv. v. Town of Mt. Pleasant, 394 SE 2d 712 (1990).

Support for IREC's Petition January 8, 2018 Page **2** of **3**

Order No., 2005-725 in Docket 2005-270-G.

- 3. IREC's Petition to Intervene is consistent with this Commission's prior holding that intervention is, "...consistent with the policies of the Commission in encouraging maximum public participation in issues before the Commission, and should be allowed so that a full and complete record addressing [Intervenor's] views and concerns be developed."

 Defendants/Respondents' Opposition.
- 4. Defendants/Respondents oppose IREC's Petition to Intervene, claiming that IREC does not have standing or a personal stake or interest in the proceeding. Defendants/Respondents ignore the fact that Docket 2017-332-E, pertains to a general guidelines change that would affect all Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC") and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's ("DEP") projects within the State of South Carolina. Defendants/Respondents claim of a lack of interest in a particular project, is inapposite, because the guidelines complained about pertain to every DEC and DEP project in the State of South Carolina.

CONCLUSION

Based on (i) IREC's participation in Docket 2015-362-E (ii) IREC's knowledge, experience and perspective (iii) Order No. 2005-725 and (iv) the general application of the proposed guidelines, to every DEC and DEP project in the State of South Carolina, this Commission should allow IREC's intervention in this Docket with full rights of participation and for such other and further relief as this Commission deems just and appropriate.

Support for IREC's Petition January 8, 2018 Page 3 of 3

This 8th day of January, 2018.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

Richard L. Whitt, RLWhitt@AustinRogersPA.com AUSTIN & ROGERS, P.A., 508 Hampton Street, Suite 300 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 251-7442 Attorney for the Complainants/Petitioners.

January 8, 2018 Columbia, South Carolina

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2017-332-E

IN RE:	Southern Current LLC; Cypress Creek Renewables, LLC; and Birdseye Renewable Energy, LLC,))))
	Complainants/ Petitioners,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	v.	
	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC,)))
	Defendants/Respondents.)
)
S	I, Carrie A. Schurg, an employee of Aust	
Iı	ntervene and this Certificate of Service, via elec	tronic mail on January 8, 2018, as
iı	ndicated below.	
	leather Shirley Smith Email: heather.smith@duke-energy.com	
	enny R. Pittman Email: jpittman@regstaff.sc.gov	
	Rebecca J. Dulin Email: Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com	
	Frank R. Ellerbe, III Email: fellerbe@sowellgray.com	
		/s/
		Carrie A. Schurg

January 8, 2018 Columbia, South Carolina